1667

Cronbach, L. J., & Meehl, P. E. (1955). Construct validity in psychological tests. *Psychological Bulletin*, 52, 281–302.

Hubley, A. M., & Zumbo, B. D. (1996). A dialectic on validity: Where we have been and where we are going. The Journal of General Psychology, 123(3), 207–215.

Hubley, A. M., & Zumbo, B. D. (2013). Psychometric characteristics of assessment procedures: An overview. K. F. Geisinger (Ed.), APA handbook of testing and assessment in psychology (Vol. 1). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association Press.

Kalfoss, M. H., Low, G., & Molzahn, A. E. (2008). The suitability of the WHOQOL-BREF for Canadian and Norwegian older adults. European Journal of Ageing, 5(1), 77–89.

Lo, R. S. K., Woo, J., Zhoc, K. C. H., Li, C. Y. P., Yeo, W., Johnson, P., Mak, Y., & Lee, J. (2001). Cross-cultural validations of the McGill Quality of Life questionnaire in Hong Kong Chinese. *Palliative Medicine*, 15(5), 387–397.

Mezzich, J. E., Cohen, N. L., Ruiperez, M. A., Banzato, C. E. M., & Zapata-Vega, M. I. (2011). The multicultural quality of life index: Presentation and validation. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 17(2), 357–364.

Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). Psychometric theory (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Riedel, M., Spellmann, I., Schennach-Wolff, R., Obermeier, M.,& Musil, R. (2011). The RSM-scale: A pilot study on a new specific scale for self- and observer-rated quality of life in patients with schizophrenia. Quality of Life Research: An International Journal of Quality of Life Aspects of Treatment, Care & Rehabilitation, 20(2), 263–272.

Sharp, L. K., Knight, S. J., Nadler, R., Albers, M., Moran, E., Kuzel, T., Sharifi, R., & Bennett, C. (1999). Quality of life in low-income patients with metastatic prostate cancer: Divergent and convergent validity of three instruments. Quality of Life Research: An International Journal of Quality of Life Aspects of Treatment, Care & Rehabilitation, 8(5), 461–470.

Skevington, S. M., & McCrate, F. M. (2012). Expecting a good quality of life in health: Assessing people with diverse diseases and conditions using the WHOQOL-BREF. Health Expectations: An International Journal of Public Participation in Health Care & Health Policy, 15(1), 49–62.

Disease-Specific Measure

- ▶ Condition-Specific Measure
- ▶ Disease-Specific Questionnaire

Disease-Specific Questionnaire

Michele Peters and Helen Crocker Department of Public Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Synonyms

Condition-specific measure; Condition-specific questionnaire; Disease-specific measure; Patient-reported outcome measure

Definition

Disease-specific questionnaires can either be clinical measures or experiential measures. They are designed for specific diagnostic groups or patient populations, and therefore, their advantage is that they measure aspects that are particularly salient to a specific disease or patient group. Clinical measures assess signs, symptoms, and tests, whereas experiential measures are a type of patient-reported outcome measure that assess a range of dimensions of health-related quality of life such as physical functioning, mental functioning, and social functioning.

See also ▶ condition-specific measure.

Description

Disease-specific questionnaires exist for an increasingly large variety of diseases. They include two types: (1) clinical measures which primarily assess signs, symptoms, and tests and (2) experiential measures, which capture the impact of a condition on the person affected by the disease (Atherly, 2006). The measures can either be completed by clinicians who rate patients' outcome or health status, or by patients themselves. The measures described in this entry are self-completed experiential measures, i.e., patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs).

Ľ

They are patient-completed instruments in the form of short questionnaires that typically assess disease-specific health status or quality of life. Examples of disease-specific questionnaires are the ▶ Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) and the ▶ Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire (PDQ).

The term "disease-specific questionnaire" tends to be used interchangeably with the term "condition-specific measure." Patrick and Deyo (1989) make a distinction between "condition" and "disease" specific, as not all conditions are considered diseases. However, all diseases can be referred to as a "condition." Following this distinction, back pain would be classed as a condition but not a disease, whereas Parkinson's disease can be considered either as a condition or as a disease. This means that the features of condition-specific and disease-specific measures are broadly the same and the details of advantages of disease-specific measures, questionnaire content, development, psychometrics, and their uses can be referred to in the entry on conditionspecific measures.

Cross-References

- ► Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire
- ▶ Condition-Specific Measure
- ▶ Disease-Specific Measure
- ► Health-Related Quality of Life
- National Eye Institute Visual Function Ouestionnaire
- ► Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire (PDQ-39)
- ► Patient-Reported Outcome Measure

References

Atherly, A. (2006). Condition-specific measures. In R. L. Kane (Ed.), Understanding health care outcomes research (2nd ed., pp. 165–183). London: Jones and Bartlett.

Patrick, D. L., & Deyo, R. A. (1989). Generic and disease-specific measures in assessing health status and quality of life. Medical Care, 27(3), S217–S232.

Disengagement

Social Exclusion

Disengagement Theory of Successful Aging

▶ Multidimensional Model of Successful Aging

Dismantling Institutional Care

► Deinstitutionalisation Process of Arduin, Quality of Life as Leading Principle

Disparities in Quality of Life of Foreign-Born Older People in Australia

▶ Well-Being Disparities of Immigrant Aged in Australia

Disparity

▶ Dispersion

Dispersion

Wim Kalmijn Erasmus Happiness Research Organization EHERO, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Synonyms

Disparity; Inequality